IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

KEMPER VALVE & FITTINGS CORP.)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 08 C 513
)	
BUDDY WOOD, KENNETH KLINGBAIL,)	Honorable Judge Guzman
FLOW VALVE, LLC,)	Magistrate Judge Nolan
)	
Defendants.)	

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE

Plaintiff Kemper Valve & Fittings Corp., ("Kemper") by and through its undersigned counsel, filed an eight-count Amended Complaint against Defendants Flow Valve LLC, ("Flow Valve") Buddy Wood, and Kenneth Klingbail for (1) misappropriation of trade secrets under the Illinois Trade Secrets Act, 765 ILCS 1065/1, (2) breaches of covenants not to compete, (3) tortious interference with business relations and business expectancy, (4) civil conspiracy and (5) breaches of duty of loyalty. Defendants Klingbail and Wood have answered the Amended Complaint and have admitted venue is appropriate in this District. Notwithstanding, Wood and Klingbail have filed a Motion to Transfer Venue, asserting that the Eastern District of Oklahoma provides a more convenient forum for this action. As demonstrated below, however, the balance of private and public factors does not support transfer of this case to Oklahoma. Kemper resides in Illinois, several events material to Kemper's claims occurred in Illinois, this Court is most familiar with Illinois law – which is controlling contractually – and Illinois has a strong

community relationship to the interests at issue. Defendants have not demonstrated Oklahoma clearly is more convenient and their Motion to Transfer Venue¹ should, therefore, be denied.

I. Statement Of Pertinent Facts

Kemper is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business in Island Lake, Illinois. Compl., ¶7. Kemper manufactures and markets oilfield flow control products. *Id.* at ¶13. Kemper has two branch facilities in Sulphur, Oklahoma. *Id.*

Defendant Klingbail joined Kemper's Illinois corporate headquarters staff in 1999 as an Engineer. *Id.* at 14. Klingbail subsequently became Kemper's Engineering Manager. *Id.* While an Illinois employee and as Kemper's Engineering Manager, Klingbail had access to Kemper's engineering designs, information about the unique products Kemper was developing, formulas, drawings, and proprietary new product concepts and business information. *Id.* Defendant Wood also joined Kemper in 1999. *Id.* at ¶15. Wood initially was Kemper's General Manager for its Sulphur, Oklahoma facilities. *Id.* Wood subsequently became Kemper's National Sales Manager for Kemper's Flow Control line of products. Wood came to Kemper's Illinois headquarters for meetings, training and in his capacity as National Sales Manager. Walschot Dec., ¶6 attached hereto as Exh. 3. Wood also had access, as a Kemper key executive, to Kemper's confidential and proprietary engineering drawings, specifications, standards and other proprietary documents stored in Illinois. *Id.* at 5; Answer, ¶15;

In 2001, both Klingbail and Wood acknowledged they were key executives of Kemper and they executed Confidentiality and Non-Compete Agreements as consideration for continued employment and the opportunity to participate in Kemper's Incentive Compensation Plan. *Id.* at

Defendants' Motion to Transfer Venue hereinafter is referred to as "Wood and Klingbail Mot.". Defendants' Memorandum in Support of Motion to Transfer Venue hereinafter is referred to as "Wood and Klingbail Mem." The Declaration of Michael Walschot hereinafter is referred to as "Walschot Dec.").

¶¶16,18,19. The Confidentiality and Non-Compete Agreements executed by Wood, Klingbail and Kemper state that the agreements "shall be regarded for all purposes as an Illinois document and the validity and construction hereof, and all costs and payments required hereunder shall be determined and governed, in all aspects, by the laws of the state of Illinois." *Id.* at Exh. A, ¶9; Exh. B, ¶9.

On January 31, 2007, Wood suddenly resigned voluntarily from his position at Kemper. Compl., ¶2. Thereafter, Flow Valve was organized and began operations in February 2007. Flow Valve Mem. at pp. 4,10; Wood and Klingbail Mot., Decl. of Mark Nowell, ¶3. Wood's son-in-law, a former lower level hourly employee at Kemper, opened Flow Valve. Answer, ¶3,22-23. Wood provided a loan and rented a building to Flow Valve. Answer, ¶64. Wood has accompanied his son-in-law on sales calls and at business meetings regarding Flow Valve and for products and services competitive with Kemper's products and services. Answer, ¶28,29,66. Answer, ¶3. Flow Valve's products and services compete with Kemper's. Answer, ¶28. Klingbail suddenly resigned from Kemper in July 2007. Compl., ¶2. Klingbail has been a member and employee of Flow Valve since September 2007. Wood and Klingbail Mem. at p. 4. Klingbail assists Flow Valve in competing with Kemper. Answer, ¶55. Klingbail's job with Flow Valve is similar to his job with Kemper. *Id.* at ¶59.

Count I of the Amended Complaint asserts a claim against Flow Valve, Klingbail and Wood for misappropriation of trade secrets in violation of the Illinois Trade Secrets Act. Wood and Klingbail admit they had access to Kemper's confidential and proprietary information. Answer, ¶42. Kemper alleges Wood and Klingbail have used for their own and Flow Valve's benefit Kemper's confidential information and thus all three defendants have misappropriated

Kemper's trade secrets. *Id.* at ¶43. Kemper's trade secret information is the subject matter of this litigation.

Counts II and III of the Amended Complaint assert for claims for breaches of Confidentiality and Non-Compete Agreements by Wood and Klingbail. These agreements are expressly governed by Illinois law for all purposes. Amended Complaint, Exhs. A and B, ¶9.

Counts IV and V of the Amended Complaint assert claims for tortious interference with business relations and tortious interference with business expectancy by Wood and Flow Valve, respectively. Flow Valve and Wood's purposeful tortious conduct, directed at an Illinois resident, has caused injury within the state.

II. Venue Is Proper And Convenient In Illinois

A. Venue Is Proper In This Judicial District

Defendants Klingbail and Wood admit that venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(a). Answer, ¶12. Venue, likewise, is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(c), with respect to Flow Valve because, as demonstrated at pp. 3-8 in Kemper's Response to Defendant Flow Valve's Motion to Dismiss or Transfer Venue, Flow Valve is subject to personal jurisdiction in the Northern District of Illinois. Section 1391(c) provides that "a corporation shall be deemed to reside in any judicial district in which it is subject to personal jurisdiction at the time the action is commenced." Venue is proper here and the case should not be transferred to Oklahoma.

B. The Eastern District Of Oklahoma Is Not A More Convenient Forum Than The Northern District of Illinois

This court also should not transfer this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1404(a) because venue is not more convenient in the Eastern District of Oklahoma. A district court may transfer a case to another district where the case otherwise might have been brought "for the convenience

of parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice." 28 U.S.C. §1404(a); see also Coffey v. Van Dorn Iron Works, 796 F.2d 217, 219-20 (7th Cir. 1986) (courts consider the convenience of the parties, the convenience of the witnesses, and the interest of justice). Transfer is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §1404(a) when "(1) venue is proper in the transfer or district; (2) venue and jurisdiction is proper in the transfer district; and (3) the transfer will foster the convenience of the parties and witnesses and will promote the interest of justice." Amoco Oil Co. v. Mobil Oil Corp., 90 F. Supp. 2d 958, 959 (N.D. Ill. 2000). Defendants Wood and Klingbail, as the movants, bear the burden of proving why this Court should grant a transfer of venue. Gulf Oil Corp. v. Gilbert, 330 U.S. 501, 508-509 (1947). In order to meet this burden, Defendants must demonstrate that "the transferee forum is clearly more convenient." Coffey, 796 F.2d at 219 (emphasis added); Vandeveld v. Christoph, 877 F. Supp. 1160, 1164 (N.D. Ill. 1995). Here, Defendants have failed entirely to make the requisite showing.

Courts in this district evaluate the private interests of the parties and the public interests of the court in determining whether transfer actually will serve the convenience of the parties. *First National Bank v. El Camino Resources, Ltd.*, 447 F. Supp. 2d 902, 911-12 (N.D. Ill. 2006). As demonstrated below, both the private and public factors weigh against the transfer of this case to Oklahoma.

1. Kemper Resides In Illinois And Its Choice Of Forum Should Be Given Substantial Deference

As an initial matter, Kemper's choice of forum is paramount in deciding whether a case should be transferred where, as here, it is the plaintiff's home forum. A plaintiff's forum selection "should rarely be disturbed unless the balance weighs strongly in the defendant's favor." *Vandeveld*, 877 F. Supp. at 1167; *see also Plymouth Tube Co. v. O'Donnell*, 1995 U.S. Dist. LEXUS 8912, at *14 (N.D. Ill. June 28, 1995) (noting that "the plaintiff's choice of forum

is given considerable weight"). In fact, even if two forums are equally convenient, the Supreme Court has held that venue should not be transferred because of the strong interest in allowing the plaintiff to choose its forum. *Van Dusen v. Barrack*, 376 U.S. 612, 645-46 (1964). Because Kemper is a resident of Illinois, its choice to proceed with a lawsuit in its home state is given great weight, as it has a strong interest in having its claims decided here and its interests are paramount. *Central State, Southeast & Southwest Areas Pension Fund v. Salasnek Fisheries*, 977 F. Supp. 888, 890 (N.D. Ill. 1997) ("[A] party's choice of forum is given substantial deference, particularly if it is also that plaintiff's home forum.").

2. Illinois Is The Situs Of Important Material Events

This factor weighs against transfer because many material events occurred in Illinois. *First*, but for Klingbail's longstanding relationship as Kemper's Engineering Manager in Illinois, and Wood's longstanding relationship as Kemper's National Sales Manager for Kemper's Flow Control product line, Flow Valve never would have had access to the trade secret information Klingbail and Wood misappropriated for Flow Valve's present use. Kemper's cause of action for violations of the Illinois Trade Secret Act arise directly out of Klingbail and Wood's contacts with Illinois and Flow Valve's present use of Kemper's misappropriated trade secrets. As demonstrated at pp. 3-8 in Kemper's Response to Flow Valve's Motion to Dismiss or Alternatively to Transfer Venue, Illinois courts exercise personal jurisdiction over non-resident Defendants charged with trade secret misappropriation. *See Int'l Molding Machine Co.*, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14790, at *12-13. *Second*, Kemper's principal place of business is in Illinois and Defendants directed their conduct toward an Illinois resident. Defendants knew the injury from their actions would be felt in Illinois. *Third*, Defendants' Confidentiality and Non-Compete Agreements were executed by Kemper and Klingbail in Illinois and expressly acknowledge that

Illinois law governs these contracts in all material respects. Amended Complaint, Exhs. A and B at ¶9. Fourth, both Klingbail and Wood had significant contacts with Illinois during their employment. Klingbail was based in Illinois at Kemper's corporate headquarters. Walschot Dec., ¶7. Wood came to Kemper's headquarters for meetings, training and in his capacity as Kemper's National Sales Manager for its Flow Control product line. Walschot Dec., ¶6. Wood also had access, in his capacity as a key executive, to Kemper's confidential and proprietary engineering drawings, standards, specifications and other propietary documents stored in Illinois. Id. at 5. Access to this business information was not generally available and was restricted to key employees only. Id.

Defendants' Motion and Memorandum appear to be conspicuously limited to Kemper's claims against them for breaches of their Confidentiality and Non-Compete Agreements. Wood and Klingbail Mem. at p.5. By selectively limiting their analysis to just these two counts of a multiple count Amended Complaint, they attempt to detract the Court from the multiple material events that took place in Illinois. As demonstrated at pages 6-7 above, Illinois is the situs of material events alleged in the Amended Complaint. As demonstrated at pages 12-13 below, Illinois has a strong community relationship to the issues in the case, where as here, Klingbail admits, at a minimum, he is competing directly with Kemper despite having executed a Confidentiality and Non-Compete Agreement governed by Illinois law. The same logic applies equally to Wood because although he denies he is "competing", he admits he loaned money and rented a building to Flow Valve (Answer, ¶64) and he has gone on sales calls and attended business meetings regarding Flow Valve and on sales calls for products and services that compete with Kemper (Answer, ¶728, 66) despite having executed a Confidentiality and Non-Compete Agreement, governed by Illinois law.

3. The Relative Ease Of Proof Does Not Justify Transfer

Defendants concede documents in this case should be easily transferable. Wood and Klingbail Mem. at p.6. Indeed, in this era of faxing, scanning and overnight delivery services, the location of documentary evidence is largely irrelevant. *Hanley v. Omarc, Inc.*, 6 F.Supp.2d 770, 775 (N.D. Ill. 1998).

4. The Convenience Of The Parties Does Not Favor Defendants

Defendants assert that litigating this case in Illinois rather than Oklahoma will be burdensome economically based on the unsubstantiated allegations that Wood and Klingbail have limited resources and Flow Valve is a small, new company. Wood and Klingbail Mem. at p.6. The "convenience of the parties" analysis, however, does not justify a transfer of this case. The fact that Defendants reside in Oklahoma and will be inconvenienced without transfer does not support transfer, where, as here, the Plaintiff resides in the district where the case was filed. "[I]t is always more burdensome for a party to litigate outside its home forum. But Defendants have offered no reason why the Court should transfer this burden to [Kemper]." *Bofia v. Harris and Stanley Manufacturing Co.*, 04 C 514, 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13437, at *8-9 (N.D. Ill. July 15, 2004). It likewise would not be unduly burdensome for Flow Valve to litigate in Illinois, "given that Chicago is a major metropolitan area and transportation hub." *Riddell*, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13053, at *11; *see also Birnberg v. Milk St. Residential Assocs. Ltd.*, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 806, at *8 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 21, 2003) (travel from Massachusetts to Illinois did not violate due process because of transportation and communication advances).

Finally, although Wood and Klingbail may reside in Oklahoma, other key witnesses work and/or reside in Illinois. For example, the signatory to the Non-Compete and Confidentiality Agreement on Kemper's behalf – Jackson Kemper - works and resides in Illinois.

Klingbail was at all times an Illinois based employee and his agreement was fully executed in Illinois. Walschot Dec. ¶7. The members of Kemper's Engineering Department and all of its confidential engineering drawings, specifications, standards and other trade secret documents are located at Kemper's facility in Island Lake, Illinois. Authentication of Kemper's trade secret information will be done through Kemper Illinois employees. Thus, where – as is the case here – the potential witnesses in this case reside in both Illinois and Oklahoma, the matter may be adjudicated in Illinois. *See International Molding Mach. Co.*, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14790, at *15-16. ("[T]he record does not show that the location of evidence and witnesses make it unreasonable to efficiently resolve this case in Illinois.").

Defendants alleged limited resources (Wood and Klingbail Mem. at p.6) also do not support the conclusion that the balance of conveniences is strongly in favor of Defendants. The named individual Defendants and Mark Nowell rarely will be compelled to appear in Illinois unless and until this case goes to trial. Counsel routinely agree to depose witnesses in the districts where they reside. Kemper easily can depose the Defendants in Oklahoma. Defendants have competent counsel in Illinois who easily could depose any Kemper employees and representatives. If the Defendants choose to attend the depositions personally, or through counsel, they would have the same travel expense irrespective of where the case is pending.

Defendants claimed limited resources also should not be believed by this Court. Both Wood and Klingbail abruptly resigned voluntarily from Kemper. They both had well paying positions at Kemper with generous bonuses, salaries and other compensation. Wood was paid \$110,899 in total compensation in 2003, \$129,176 in total compensation in 2004, \$421,936 in total compensation in 2005, \$674,132 in total compensation in 2006 and \$63,300 in 2007 despite having worked only one month. Walschot Dec. at ¶8. Klingbail was paid \$99,421 in total

compensation in 2003, \$103,613 in total compensation in 2004, \$113,875 in total compensation in 2005, \$169,343 in total compensation in 2006 and \$73,312 in 2007 for working half the year. Walschot Dec. at ¶8. Wood has loaned money and rented property to Flow Valve. Answer, ¶64. Klingbail is a member and employee of Flow Valve. Wood and Klingbail Mem. at p.4. Defendants have not demonstrated they cannot afford to litigate in Illinois.

Thus, while Oklahoma undoubtedly would be a convenient forum for Flow Valve, it would be inconvenient for Kemper. Transferring this action to Oklahoma is inappropriate if it "merely transforms an inconvenience for one party into an inconvenience for the other party." *Hanley*, 6 F.Supp.2d at 776 (*quotation omitted*). Given that transfer of venue will only shift any inconvenience to Kemper, consideration of the convenience of the parties weighs against transfer.

5. The Convenience Of The Witnesses Is A Neutral Factor

While the "convenience of witnesses" is an important factor in examining a motion to transfer, this factor appears to be neutral here. The three witnesses Defendants claim they will need – Wood, Klingbail and Mike Nowell – all are either named parties and/or agents of Flow Valve. These witnesses are within Flow Valve's control and it can be presumed they will appear voluntarily. *First National Bank*, 447 F. Supp. 2d at 913.

Kemper will call as witnesses Jackson Kemper, its President, and Michael Walschot, its Controller, both of whom are located in Illinois. Each will testify about the contracts with Wood and Klingbail, the violation of those contracts through Flow Valve and the injury to Kemper in Illinois. Kemper also will call as witnesses employees in its Engineering Department who will authenticate Kemper's engineering drawings, standards, specifications, product designs, and

other trade secret information. These Illinois employees likewise will be important in terms of addressing the trade secret misappropriation and injury issues.

Because there has been no written discovery to date in the case, Defendants' claims that they will need testimony from customers and employees on the issue of solicitation violations is pure speculation. Based upon the admissions in Defendants Wood and Klingbail's answers to the Amended Complaint, it is more likely that most, if not all, of the evidence needed can be obtained through written discovery and from the named parties. The convenience of Wood and Klingbail "is clearly not relevant to this analysis, because they are parties." *Bafia*, 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13437, at *10. Obviously, Illinois is convenient for Kemper and Oklahoma is more convenient to Flow Valve. When the inconvenience of venues are comparable and the Plaintiff resides in the home forum, there is no basis for a change of venue; the tie is awarded to the plaintiff. *In re National Presto Indus., Inc.*, 347 F.3d 662, 665 (7th Cir. 2003).

C. This Court Is Most Familiar With The Applicable Illinois Law

Defendants make no showing that it is more efficient to administrate this case in the Eastern District of Oklahoma. In fact, the opposite is true. Indeed, Kemper's Amended Complaint, inter alia, seeks relief under the Illinois Trade Secret Act. "It is very likely that an Illinois federal court, rather than [an Oklahoma] federal court, will be more familiar with an Illinois statute." *International Molding Machine Co.*, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14790, at *12. Indeed, Kemper could uncover no recent decision where the Eastern District of Oklahoma has been called upon to interpret or apply the Illinois Trade Secret Act. Also, "Illinois has a strong interest in adjudicating injuries to the intellectual property rights of its corporate residents." *Id.*

III. Speed To Disposition Is Faster In Illinois

The speed at which this case would proceed in Illinois from filing to disposition weighs in favor of the case remaining here. The Federal Court Management Statistics have not been updated since 2005 for the Eastern District of Oklahoma with respect to the median time from filing to trial. See Exh. 1. In the absence of comparable statistical data, the Northern District of Illinois and the Eastern District of Oklahoma cannot be accurately compared with respect to the time from filing to trial. In any event, according to the 2007 United States District Court statistics, the actual percentage of cases that proceed to trial in either venue is less than 3%. See Exh. 2.

Both districts, however, do have available statistics for 2007 for filing to disposition. In the Northern District of Illinois, the median number of months from filing to disposition in civil cases was 6.2 months while that number was 9.4 months for the Eastern District of Oklahoma. Exh. 1. Thus, the average case is likely to be disposed of three months earlier here. This factor militates against transfer.

IV. Illinois Has A Strong Community Relationship To This Litigation

"Resolving litigated controversies in their locale is a desirable goal of the Federal Courts." *Jewel America, Inc. v. Combine International Inc.*, No. 07 C 3596, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89026, at 10 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 30, 2007). Kemper resides in Illinois, the injury that resulted from Klingbail's and Flow Valve's and potentially Wood's violations of the Illinois Trade Secrets Act occurred in Illinois, and Wood and Klingbail have agreed that Illinois law is controlling with respect to interpretation of their Confidentiality and Non-Compete Agreements. Illinois certainly has a strong interest in deciding claims involving misappropriation of trade secrets, breach of duty of loyalty and breaches of contract governed by Illinois law. It is in the public interest to

have local residents act as jurors for this case affecting interests of an Illinois resident. *See McCants v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.*, Nos. 06 C 6556, 06 C 6634, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 40631, at *8, June 4, 2007).

Thus, weighing all relevant factors in light of the substantial weight to be given Kemper's choice of forum, Defendants cannot meet their burden of demonstrating that the transferee venue is clearly more convenient that this judicial district, and thus, transfer of venue is inappropriate in this case.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Defendants' Motion to Transfer Venue should be denied.

Dated: March 21, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

s/Davi L. Hirsch
One of their Attorneys
DAVI L. HIRSCH (Atty. #06182757)
DANIEL R. MADOCK (Atty. #6192393)
JOSHUA D. HOLLEB (Atty. #6185409)
KLEIN DUB & HOLLEB, LTD.
660 LaSalle Place, Suite 100
Highland Park, IL 60035
Phone: (847) 681-9100
Fax: (847) 681-1600
dhirsch@labor-law.com
drm@labor-law.com
jdh@labor-law.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Motion to Transfer Venue was served upon:

William W. Speed George W. Braly Braly, Braly, Speed & Morris, PLLC 201 W. 14th Street P.O. Box 2739 Ada, OK 74821-2739

James D. Adducci
Paul E. Lehner
Adducci, Dorf, Lehner, Mitchell & Blankenship, P.C.
150 N. Michigan Avenue, Suite 2130
Chicago, IL 60601

via regular U. S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 21st day of March, 2008.

s/Davi L	. Hirsch	
Davi L. Hirsch		

K:\Kemper Valve & Fittings Corp\Oklahoma Litigation\Documents\03.13.08 Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Motion to Transfer.doc